GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji -Goa

Tel No. 0832-2437908/2437208 email: spio-gsic.goa@nic.in website:www.gsic.goa.gov.in

Appeal No.95/2021/SCIC

Shri. Prasad Surlikar, H.No. 653, Near Gomantak Printing Press, St. Inez, Panaji-Goa.Appellant

V/S

- 1. The Public Information Officer, Dy. Director (Adm.), Goa Dental College, Bambolim-Goa.
- 2. The First Appellate Authority/ Dean, Goa Dental College, Bambolim-Goa.

......Respondents

Shri. Vishwas R. Satarkar

State Chief Information Commissioner

Filed on: 16/04/2021 Decided on: 17/11/2021

ORDER

- 1. The Appellant, Shri. Prasad Surlikar, H.No. 653, Near Gomantak Printing Press, St. Inez, Panaji-Goa, by his application dated 04/12/2020, filed under sec 6(1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter to be referred as 'Act') sought certain information from the Public Information Officer, Deputy Director (Administration), Goa Dental College, Bambolim Goa.
- 2. The said application was responded by PIO on 08/01/2021, by furnishing part information and information at point No. 5 was rejected under sec 8(1)(J) of the Act.
- 3. Not satisfied with the reply of the PIO, the Appellant preferred first appeal before the Dean, Goa Dental College and Hospital at Bambolim Goa, being the First Appellate Authority (FAA).
- 4. The FAA by its order dated 02/03/2021 dismissed the said appeal, upholding the reply of PIO.

- 5. Aggrieved with the order of FAA, the Appellant filed this second appeal before the Commission under sec 19(3) of the Act.
- Parties were informed through notice, pursuant to which the PIO, Dr. Pooja M. Madkaikar appeared on 07/07/2021 and filed her reply. The FAA filed her reply through entry registry on 09/07/2021.

All the parties also filed their written submissions and relied upon various judgements to support their case.

- 7. It is a admitted fact that information at point No. 1,2,3,4 and 7 has been collected by the Appellant by paying the prescribed fee of Rs. 316/- and according to PIO, information at point No. 6 cannot be provided as there was no correspondence made to the selected candidates and as such information is not generated and there is a controversy with respect to information at point No. 5.
- 8. During the course of arguments, the PIO submitted that, since the process of recruitment is over, the information at point No. 5 i.e marks obtained by each candidates in written and oral examination by staff nurse/clerk and other posts has been already uploaded on website of Goa Dental College and Hospital at Bambolim and even otherwise she is ready and willing to furnish the information to the Appellant. Accordingly, Commission directed the PIO to produce the documents on next date of hearing and matter was fixed on 29/10/2021.
- 9. During the course of hearing on 29/10/2021, the PIO furnished certified copy of all relevant documents to the Appellant. The representative of the Appellant scrutinised the documents provided by the PIO and submitted that he has received the information from PIO and he is satisfied with the information. He also endorsed on appeal memo that "I have received information, appropriate order may be passed".

As requested, information has been furnished to Appellant, no further intervention of the Commission is warranted. Accordingly the matter is disposed off.

- Proceeding closed.
- Pronounced in open court.
- Notify the parties.

Sd/-**(Vishwas R. Satarkar)**State Chief Information Commissioner